



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

**Patent and Tracemark Office** 

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

 08/853, 292
 05/09/97
 TOVEY
 M
 23164-1003

HM12/0630

HELLER EHRMAN WHITE & MCAULIFFE WILLIAM SCHMONSEES 525 UNIVERSITY AVENUE PALO ALTO CA 94301-1900

EXAMINER FITZGERALD, D

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

06/30/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

# **Advisory Action**

1 . . .

Application No. 08/853,292

Applicant(s)

Examiner

David L. FITZGERALD

TOVEY, et al.
Group Art Unit
1646

THE	PERI	OD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
	a) [	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	_	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
d d c	late on letermi alcula	tension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ted from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	Appell period	ant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
App but	ilicant is NO	's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>18 Jun 1999</u> has been considered with the following effect, T deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
X	The pi	roposed amendment(s):
	] w	ll be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
0		Il not be entered because:
		they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
	X	they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NO	TE: <u>See attached.</u>
		·
ĺ	□ A <sub> </sub>	oplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	 Newl	oplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
X	Newl separ The a	oplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a
<b>⊠</b>	Newl separ	poplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition lowance because:
<b>⊠</b>	Newl separate a for all See a the E	pplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition lowance because:  affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by xaminer in the final rejection.
<b>⊠</b>	Newl separ The a for al See a The a the E	pplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition lowance because:  affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by xaminer in the final rejection.  urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
<b>⊠</b>	Newl separ The a for al See a the E For p Claim	pplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition lowance because:  affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by xaminer in the final rejection.
<b>⊠</b>	Newl separe The a for al See a the E For p Claim	pplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition lowance because:  affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by xaminer in the final rejection.  urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  as allowed: NONE
	Newl separ The a for al See a the E For p Claim Claim Claim	poplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition lowance because:  affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by xaminer in the final rejection.  urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  as allowed: NONE  as objected to: NONE
	Newl separ The a for all See a the E For p Claim Claim The I	poplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  The proposed or amended claims
	Newl separ The a for al See a the E For p Claim Claim The I Note	poplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  The proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  If fidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition lowance because:  Introduced in the final rejection.  Interpretation of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  In allowed: NONE  In allowed: NONE  In allowed: 1-18  In a has not been approved by the Examiner.  The attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)
	Newl separ The a for all See a the E For p Claim Claim The I	poplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  The proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  If fidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition lowance because:  Introduced in the final rejection.  Interpretation of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  In allowed: NONE  In allowed: NONE  In allowed: 1-18  In a has not been approved by the Examiner.  The attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)
	Newl separ The a for al See a the E For p Claim Claim The I Note	poplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  The proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  If fidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition lowance because:  Introduced in the final rejection.  Interpretation of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  In allowed: NONE  In allowed: NONE  In allowed: 1-18  In a has not been approved by the Examiner.  The attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)

### Refusal of entry of the amendment

Applicant has not indicated how the proposed recitation of "systemic" is supported in the disclosure as filed. Entry of the amendment would thus compel consideration of questions of new matter. Additionally, as the amended claims appear to require limitations not expressly addressed in the final rejection, entry of the amendment would also compel consideration of new grounds of rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102 and/or § 103.

Because applicant's arguments relating to patentability are directed to the amended claims, they do not respond to the outstanding grounds of rejection.

### Arguments relating to the duplication of claims

Applicant urges that "type II" IFN is generic to the specific IFN- $\gamma$ . This argument is not persuasive because, as discussed in the final Office action, the two terms are synonymous, and "IFN- $\gamma$ " is generic to all  $\gamma$ -IFNs known in the art. The arguments relating to enforceability under the doctrine of equivalents do not provide justification for maintaining two claims delimiting identical inventions as construed with reference to the appropriate time, *i.e.*, the time the application was filed.

#### Information disclosure statement

The Disclosure Statement filed 01 June 1999 does not comply with 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(d) because it was not accompanied both by the certification required under § 1.97 (e) and the petition and fee specified in § 1.97(d). The cited information has been placed in the application file but has not been further treated or considered.

David L. Fitzgerald Primary Examiner Art Unit 1646

Tel. (703) 308-3934 Fax (703) 308-0294

29 June 1999

30

25

5

10

15

20